



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/099,997	03/19/2002	Kouichi Anno	HITA.0174	5636
7590 10/10/2003			EXAMINER	
Stanley P. Fish	er		CHUNG, DAVID Y.	
Reed Smith LLF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1400 3110 Fairview Park Drive			2871	
Falls Church, VA 22042-4503			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AR				
	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
•	10/099,997	KOUICHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	David Y. Chung	2871					
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period f r Reply	0501 V 10 05T TO EVOIDE	A MONTH (O) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mattion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum or y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3) Since this application is in condition for		matters, prosecution as to the	ne merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>4,6,8,10,14,16,18 and 20</u> is/are	allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7,9,11-13,15,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	•					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are require							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	tne Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority doc							
2. Certified copies of the priority doc			_				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign langua	• '						
Attachment(s)	p, aa 20 0	. 55					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note of Informal Patent Application (PTr: :					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 3 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claim recites that a layer thickness of the gate signal line is set to equal to or less than 0.1 μ m. However, the specification discloses fabricating the gate signal line by patterning an aluminum film that has a thickness of 260 nm. See page 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 11, 12, 15 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kubo et al. (U.S. 6,295,109).

As to claim 1, Kubo et al. discloses a transflective liquid crystal display having both a reflective and transmissive pixel electrode in each pixel region. Note in figure 32C, the transmissive pixel electrode 58a formed in both the transmissive and reflective pixel regions. Note the gate-insulating layer 54 formed on the transmissive electrode 58a in a major portion of the reflective pixel region. Note the interlayer insulating film 60 having an opening formed at the transmissive pixel region. The reflective pixel electrode 61 is formed over the interlayer insulating film 60 in the reflective pixel regions and serves as a reflective film.

As to claim 2, the plan views of figures 21 and 24 show that the pixel region is formed as a region surrounded by a pair of gate lines 53 and a pair of data lines 59a. The reflective and transmissive pixel electrode receives a video signal from a corresponding data line via a thin film transistor. The thin film transistor operates in response to a scanning signal from a corresponding gate line.

As to claim 5, note in figure 32C, the transmissive pixel electrode 58a, drain electrode 59c, interlayer insulating film 60, and reflective pixel electrode 61. The drain

Application/Control Number: 10/099,997 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

electrode 59c connects to the transmissive pixel electrode 58a and is formed on a major portion of the reflective pixel region.

As to claim 9, Kubo et al. discloses that instead of forming an opening completely through the interlayer insulating film 60 in the transmissive pixel region, only removing a part of it so that it remains at a certain thickness. This is shown in figure 23D. Kubo et al. teaches that doing this prevents electrocorrosion when forming the reflective electrode 61 by patterning. See column 49, lines 56-64.

As to claims 11, 12, 15 and 19, the transflective device of Kubo et al. includes a backlight for providing light in the transmissive mode when the ambient light is dark.

See column 13, lines 24-40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 7 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubo et al. (U.S. 6,295,109) in further vi w of Nakagawa et al. (U.S. 6,525,788).

Art Unit: 2871

As to claim 7, Kubo et al. does not disclose superposing the reflective pixel electrode on the upper gate line in figures 21 and 24. However, Nakagawa et al. teaches overlapping the pixel electrode with the gate line in order to form a storage capacitance. This is shown in figure 1(a). It was well known that forming storage capacitance improved display quality by allowing the pixel electrode to retain the video signal for longer periods of time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to overlap the pixel electrode with the gate line in order to for a storage capacitance.

As to claim 17, the transflective device of Kubo et al. includes a backlight for providing light in the transmissive mode when the ambient light is dark. See column 13, lines 24-40.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 16, 18 and 20 allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: neither the prior art of Kubo et al. nor any secondary reference taught optimizing the thickness of the gate line, transmissive pixel electrode, and gate-insulating layer in order to control the difference between the total layer thickness of the transmissive pixel electrode and gate-insulating layer and the layer thickness of the gate line.

Application/Control Number: 10/099,997 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-0155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

SUPERIOR WAS A 2000